EXHIBIT D

Rahul Gupte

August 11, 2009

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

THOMAS ARCHER and RUKHSANA RAFIQUE, also known as "Rukhsana Rukhsana,"

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF RAHUL GUPTE, the witness herein, taken at the offices of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York, 271 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York, on August 11, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., before Robert Bloom, a Shorthand Reporter and notary public, within and for the State of New York.

	Page 6		Page 8
1		1	
2	regard to this witness! IIA file nor any	2	Redactions were made from those files to
3	regard to this witness' H4 file nor any documents with regard to this witness' C	3	
4	C		remove attorney work product by ICE
	file, C Visa.	4 5	attorneys, not any ICE agents. And
5	Thereafter, in conversations with		certain other internal ICE documents in
6	AUSA Goldsmith, it was brought to my	6 7	the nature of interoffice memo cover
7	attention that there were some notes from		sheets, and printouts from ICE databases.
8	ICE agents that were removed on the	8	It is correct that there's no H no
9	grounds that the ICE agents say they are	9	references to any H4 application or visa
10	attorney work product.	10	or any C Visa in the witness' A file or T
11	It is the defense's position that	11	file.
12	these items are valid 3500 material, that	12	Last night, Ms. Alomar suggested that
13	they should be produced because they could	13	we check the witness' wife's A file. I
14	contain exculpatory information or they	14	have made a request for that. I'm told
15	could contain statements made by this	15	that the witness' wife does not have an A
16	individual, and it's something that should	16	file, that she has two receipt files,
17	be produced to the defense.	17	which is not a term I had heard before.
18	As such, the defendants will be	18	They are in Vermont. The request has
19	reserving their right to have a deposition	19	been made to get them.
20	of this witness at a later date.	20	If there is anything in those files
21	MS. WHALEN: I join in that	21	that is 3500 material for this witness or
22	application. Especially with respect to	22	Giglio or Brady, we will turn it over.
23	any other immigration applications that	23	The items that were withheld from the
24	are outstanding in this matter.	24	witness' A file and T file I believe do
25	Credibility is the key here, the	25	not contain any 3500 material, certainly
1	Page 7	1	Page 9
1		1	
2	defense has asserted that credibility is	2	do not contain any statements by the
3	the key from the beginning of this case.	3	witness, and do not contain any Brady or
4	And the real issue is who is supplying the	4	Giglio.
5	information on these documents that's	5	I should also mention finally that we
6	supposed to be false. And if this	6	also turned over the witness' I140
7	individual has provided other information	7	application and a letter that was attached
8	on other documents that we have reason to	8	to that. That application was not
9	believe is false, by not giving us those	9	contained in the A file or the T file, but
10	documents, you've effectively precluded us	10	it was apparent that it must have existed
11	on fully cross-examining him with respect	11	somewhere, so we managed to find it and
12	to credibility.	12	turned it.
13	With respect to the issues of work	13	MS. ALOMAR: I'm sorry, I just have a
14	product, again, if there are any	14	concern after the statements you have made
15	statements by the witness that have been	15	because it leaves me to believe the
16	redacted from those documents, then	16 17	possibility exists that this witness may
17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	/	have two different A numbers or possibly
10	clearly I think they are 3500 material and		A
18	should be provided to us. And, again, I	18	even three A numbers, because from my
19	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to	18 19	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process
19 20	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to recall the witness or object to the	18 19 20	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process is that if this witness came on an H4
19 20 21	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to recall the witness or object to the testimony being presented at trial given	18 19 20 21	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process is that if this witness came on an H4 visa, then his wife must have received
19 20 21 22	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to recall the witness or object to the testimony being presented at trial given this potential limitation of examination.	18 19 20 21 22	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process is that if this witness came on an H4 visa, then his wife must have received if his statements are true that he came on
19 20 21 22 23	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to recall the witness or object to the testimony being presented at trial given this potential limitation of examination. MR. GOLDSMITH: We turned over the	18 19 20 21 22 23	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process is that if this witness came on an H4 visa, then his wife must have received if his statements are true that he came on an H4 visa based on his wife's being
19 20 21 22	should be provided to us. And, again, I join in the reservation of the right to recall the witness or object to the testimony being presented at trial given this potential limitation of examination.	18 19 20 21 22	even three A numbers, because from my understanding of the immigration process is that if this witness came on an H4 visa, then his wife must have received if his statements are true that he came on

	Page 10		Page 12
1		1	·
2	file which would lead me to believe that	2	voluntomy domentume is being vyithhold
3	file, which would lead me to believe that	3	voluntary departure is being withheld,
	his wife has an application pending and		he's not going to be granted let me rephrase the letters that we have say
4 5	the application has yet to be approved.	4 5	
	So based on these statements, it		that his getting voluntary departure is
6	leads me to believe that there are	6	consistent with his cooperation with the
7	documents missing for these witnesses.	7	government.
8	I would ask that maybe the best way	8	So to whatever extent you can make
9	to determine if there are any other files	9	sure that he remains in the country until
10	is to maybe do a match through	10	we have had an opportunity to review those
11	fingerprints. Actually, that wouldn't	11	files, I would appreciate it.
12	even apply because like the labor cert, if	12	MR. GOLDSMITH: Okay. Just to
13	he didn't get his fingerprints, yet if it	13	clarify, his removal proceedings are
14	was only the paperwork being done, there	14	effectively on hold during his cooperation
15	is no way of cross referencing the files.	15	with the government. It's up to the
16	MR. GOLDSMITH: I'm told that the	16	immigration judge whether he gets
17	witness has only one A number, I	17	voluntary departure or is removed
18	understand sometimes people have more than	18	otherwise.
19	one.	19	But, yes, I will ask him to remain
20	I should clarify perhaps the T file	20	here until I can get those files and
21	that I referenced, the T as I understand	21	review them and if something needs to be
22	it is for "temporary." Under some	22	turned over and we need to bring him back
23	circumstances apparently ICE, if they need	23	until we resolve that issue.
24	to add something to someone's file but	24	MS. WHALEN: Great.
25	can't get a hold of the A file in a timely	25	MS. ALOMAR: I would join in that
	Page 11		Page 13
1		1	
2	fashion they create a T file and they're	2	application for the defendant, Thomas
3	later combined. In this instance, they	3	Archer. But I want to just clarify
4	hadn't been combined yet. We figured out	4	something.
5	the T file was still out there, so we got	5	When you say that this witness'
6	it.	6	immigration removal proceeding is being
7	Like I said, when I get the wife's	7	put on hold, does that mean that the
8	file, whatever exists for the wife, I'm	8	September date that he has, the September
9	told they're receipt files as opposed to A	9	11th court appearance is being adjourned?
10	files, I don't know if that is a	10	MR. GOLDSMITH: As far as I know,
11	particularly meaningful distinction, but	11	September 11th court appearance is still
12	when we get those files, we'll look at	12	set to happen.
13	them and if there is anything that should	13	At that point, it could be adjourned
14	be turned over with respect to Mr. Gupte,	14	further, but my understanding is he's due
15	we will do that.	15	in immigration court on September 11th.
16	MS. WHALEN: Do you know, did they	16	MS. WHALEN: I guess the issue would
17	give you an idea about when they would	17	be, if the government we are just
18	have the files down here?	18	asking that if the government is providing
19	MR. GOLDSMITH: They did not.	19	any letters in support of whatever
20	MS. WHALEN: I would just then	20	immigration benefit he's seeking, then we
21	request that you request that Mr. Gupte	21	would just ask that the government not
22	remain until we had an opportunity to	22	provide that letter until we have had an
23	receive and review those files in case we	23	opportunity to resolve this issue about
24	need to requestion him, and my	24	the deposition.
25	understanding is that the benefit of	25	MR. GOLDSMITH: The government is not